· . J' a

то	:	JOHN PELLETIER, CHIEF OF POLICE, MAUI POLICE DEPARTMENT
THRU	:	CHARLES HANK III, DEPUTY CHIEF, MAUI POLICE DEPARTMENTO 010120 10 700
	:	JOHN PELLETIER, CHIEF OF POLICE, MAUI POLICE DEPARTMENT
	:	JOHN FOSTER, CAPTAIN, CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION JU- 6/23
	:	GARRET TIHADA, LIEUTENANT, CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION $\frac{87}{cc/22}$
FROM	:	KAMUELA MAWAE, DETECTIVE, CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION
	:	SCOTT MCCALISTER, OFFICER, WAILUKU PATROL
SUBJECT	:	REQUEST FOR LEGAL SERVICES REGARDING U.S. FEDERAL COURT CASE 1:21-cv-00243; HAWAIIAN KINGDOM VS U.S. AND THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

A 7/2/22.

Sir, this to/thru is being sent to request legal services from Corporation Counsel regarding U.S. Federal court case 1:21-cv-00243. Said court case was initially filed on 05/20/2021 and lists the Hawaiian Kingdom as the Plaintiff and multiple U.S. officials to include President Joseph Robinette Biden Jr., as well as multiple foreign consulates operating in Hawaii as Defendants.¹

On 04/24/2022, the Hawaiian Kingdom filed a notice of appeal regarding two orders issued by District Court Judge Leslie Kobayashi that made its way to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. The *Hawaiian Kingdom v. Biden et al.* case was not terminated but is still pending. On 05/20/2022, the Hawaiian Kingdom filed a motion to dismiss for forum non conveniens with the Ninth Circuit.² The United States filed a response to the motion on 05/25/2022.³ On 06/02/2022, the Hawaiian Kingdom filed its reply to the United States' response.⁴

In these filings, the Hawaiian Kingdom draws the court's attention to a State of Hawai'i case, State of Hawai'i v. Lorenzo, that came before the Intermediate Court of Appeals in 1994. The Hawaiian Kingdom argues that this case has been used by the federal courts and is known as

¹ Amended Complaint, Hawaiian Kingdom v. Biden et al. (<u>https://hawaiiankingdom.org/pdf/Amended Complaint and Exhibits 1 & 2%20 (Filed 2021-08-11).pdf</u>).

² Motion to Dismiss for Forum Non Conveniens (<u>https://hawaiiankingdom.org/pdf/Dkt_10-</u>

¹ HK Motion to Dismiss (Filed 2022-05-20) with Exhibits.pdf).

³ United States Response

⁽https://hawaiiankingdom.org/pdf/%5bDkt 11%5d Federal Appellees Response to Appellants Response (Filed 2022-05-25).pdf).

⁴ Hawaiian Kingdom Reply to the United States Response (<u>https://hawaiiankingdom.org/pdf/22-15637_DktEntry_12-1_to_12-9_HK_Reply%20(Efiled%202022-06-02).pdf</u>).

the *Lorenzo* principle that acknowledges the continued existence of the Hawaiian Kingdom and that it also renders the State of Hawai'i and the County governments as unlawful.

On 02/07/2022, while not acting under official capacity as a law enforcement officer, I emailed State Representative Troy Hashimoto informing him of my concerns regarding any possible ramifications that the lawsuit may bring. Said email was subsequently forwarded to Corporation Council Attorney Moana Lutey who responded by informing me that if Corporation Council is to look into this matter, a request for legal services would have to be submitted by "A1 or A2."

International Law Expert and Acting Minister of Interior of the Hawaiian Kingdom, Dr. David Keanu Sai, has conducted presentations providing information regarding the Federal complaint and the continued existence of the Hawaiian Kingdom as an independent nation state, however one under prolonged belligerent occupation by the United States of America at the international level to include the Maui County Council and the Maui SHOPO chapter board. Dr. Sai further stated that we as police officers could be committing war crimes by enforcing U.S. domestic law on Hawaiian soil. Dr. Sai is also the Head of the Royal Commission of Inquiry along with Professor Federico Lenzerini from the University of Siena as Deputy Head.⁵ The Commission's first preliminary report was on the material elements of war crimes and ascertaining the *mens rea*.⁶ The Commission's latest preliminary report is on the *Lorenzo* doctrine⁷ that is being used in the federal lawsuit that acknowledges the Hawaiian Kingdom's continued existence as a State and why the State of Hawai'i is unlawful. The *Lorenzo* doctrine stems from a 1994 appellate case of *State of Hawai'i v. Lorenzo*.

We are humbly requesting that either Chief John Pelletier or Deputy Chief Charles Hank III formally request legal services from Corporation Counsel to conduct a legal analysis of Hawai'i's current political status considering International Law and to assure us, and the rest of the Police Officers throughout the State of Hawaii, that we are not violating International Law by enforcing U.S. domestic laws within what the federal lawsuit calls the Hawaiian Kingdom that continues to exist as a nation state under international law despite its government being overthrown by the United States on 01/17/1893.

14.14

Kamuela MAWAE, #13010 06/15/2022 @ 1630 hours

Respectfully Submitted, # 1553 Scott McCALISTER, #15531

⁵ Hawaiian Kingdom Royal Commission of Inquiry (<u>https://hawaiiankingdom.org/royal-commission.shtml</u>).

⁶ Royal Commission of Inquiry, *Preliminary Report—The Material Elements of War Crimes and Ascertaining the Mens Rea* (May 24, 2020) (<u>https://hawaiiankingdom.org/pdf/RCI_Preliminary_Report_Mens_Rea.pdf</u>).

⁷ Royal Commission of Inquiry, *Preliminary Report—The Lorenzo doctrine on the Continuity of the Hawaiian Kingdom as a State* (June 7, 2022)

⁽https://hawaiiankingdom.org/pdf/RCI Preliminary Report Lorenzo Doctrine.pdf).