```
1
            IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT
  2
                       STATE OF HAWAII
  3
                                  CIVIL NO. 11-1-590
  4
     DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL
     TRUST COMPANY, AS
  5
     TRUSTEE IN TRUST FOR THE
     BENEFIT OF THE
  6
     CERTIFICATEHOLDERS FOR
     ARGENT SECURITIES INC..
  7
     ASSET-BACKED
     PASS-THROUGH
 8
     CERTIFICATES, SERIES
     2006-w2,
 9
         Plaintiffs,
10
            VS.
11
     DIANNE DEE GUMAPAC;
12
     KALE KEPEKAIO GUMAPAC;
     JOHN DOES 1-50; AND JANE
13
     DOE 1-50
14
         Defendants.
15
16
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
     held in connection with the above-entitled cause
17
     before the Honorable Greg K. Nakamura, Circuit Court
18
     Judge, First Division, presiding, on the 14th day of
19
20
     February, 2012.
21
22
         REPORTED BY: GERALDINE L. SAFFERY, CSR 328, RPR
23
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES:
2	CHARLES R. PRATHER, AAL for Plaintiffs RCO Hawaii LLC
3	900 Fort St. Mall Suite 800
4	Honolulu, HI 96813
5	
6	DEXTER K. KAIAMA, AAL Special Appearance Seven_Waterfront Plaza for the Defendants
7	500 Ala Moana Blvd. Suite 400
8	Honolulu, HI 96813
9	
10	Also present
11	Mr. Kale Kepekaio Gumapac HC2 Box 9607
12	Keaau, HI 96749
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	j.

1	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2012
2	000
3	THE CLERK: Civil 2011-590 Deutsche Bank
4	vs. Dianne Gumapac, et al.; Defendant Kale Gumapac's
5	Motion to Dismiss Complaint pursuant to H.R.C.P.
6	12(b)(1).
7	MR. PRATHER: Good morning, Your Honor.
8	Charles Prather on behalf of the Plaintiff.
9	MR. KAIAMA: Good morning, Your Honor.
10	Dexter Dexter Kaiama on behalf of the uh
11	Mr. Gumapac. I'm making a special appearance for
12	purposes of this motion, Your Honor.
13	You need me to repeat my name in there?
14	THE REPORTER: (No verbal response.)
15	MR. KAIAMA: Okay.
16	THE COURT: So anything to add with
17	respect to the motion?
18	MR. KAIAMA: Thank you, Your Honor, if I
19	may.
20	Um, I a again, in Mr. Gumapac's
21	motion and his reply memorandum, I guess the first order
22	of business, Your Honor, is the taking of judicial
23	notice of the documents set forth in the, uh, both the
24	motion and the reply memorandum, that being the, um,
25	what we what's referred to as the Liliùokalani

Assignment and the Agreement of Restoration, these are executive documents on affairs in Hawaii, 1894 to 1895; as well as the statements made by House of Representative Thomas Ball and Senator Augustus Bacon from the 55th Congress, Second Session, 1898; and House Concurrent Resolution No. 107, uh, which is State of Hawaii House of Representatives.

Your Honor, in addition, in the reply memorandum, um, Mr. Gumapac does ask and we would request the Court take judicial notice of the cases, U. S. Supreme Court cases in: U.S. vs. Belmont, 301 U.S. 324; U.S. vs. Pink, 315 U.S. 203; and American Insurance Association vs. Garamendi, 539 U.S. 396.

Uh, a -- again, Your Honor, respectfully, um, our motion is predicated on the -- the Court's taking judicial notice of these documents and the cases. My understanding is that the, um, um, Plaintiffs' counsel has provided no opposition to the Court's taking judicial notice, uh, and this court, Your Honor, is familiar with, um, this request having taken judicial notice I believe on two other occasions of these documents.

THE COURT: Well the only thing that, uh, might not have seen before, uh, is that study prepared for the Committee on Foreign Relations, but you tell me

```
that I did, uh, I'll accept what -- your word.
               MR. KAIAMA: Uh, and -- and Your Honor,
um, previously we had not asked the Court to take
judicial notice of that study. Um, so again, uh, for
purposes of this motion, um, uh, we're requesting just
the documents that's referred to in our motion itself,
the documents that I referred to.
               THE COURT: To include that particular
document?
               MR. KAIAMA:
                           Well, Your Honor, um, I --
I -- I guess at this time, since we've included it in
our exhibits, I -- I would ask that the Court take
judicial notice of that document as well, which is also,
uh, an -- a -- a copy of a official publication
from, uh, from a government -- a government publication,
Your Honor.
               THE COURT: So is it, uh, Mister
"Pray-ther"?
              "Pra-ther"?
                             "Pray-ther", Your Honor.
               MR. PRATHER:
               THE COURT:
                           Prather, okay.
               You want to respond to the request?
               MR. PRATHER: Your Honor, the Court can
give whatever weight it wants to the documents that have
been attached to the motion.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

\*13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay.

THE COURT:

So the Court will take judicial notice of 1 the documents, um, that were attached and for which 2 judicial notice is requested. And Court, of course, 3 would take judicial notice of any United States Supreme 4 Court opinions. 5 MR. KAIAMA: Okay. Thank you, Your 6 7 Honor. Um, the Court having taken judicial 8 notice, uh, um, I'll-- I'll -- I will try to be brief. 9 Our position is, uh, pursuant to U.S. vs. 10 Pink, U.S. vs. Belmont, and American Associate --11 American Insurance Association vs. Garamendi, um, uh, 12 we believe that the Court, um, uh, should dismiss the 13 complaint. We've now met the burden as set forth under 14 Lorenzo, State of Hawaii vs. Lorenzo. We've provided 15 the Court now with evidence that the Kingdom of Hawaii 16 continues to exist with the attributes, um, that the 17 Court's taking judicial notice. 18 If I may refer to, um, one additional 19 20 21 22 read for you just briefly, Your Honor. 23

rule, Your Honor, and that is Hawaii Rule of Evidence 302. Section B. Um, and then that is presumptions imposing burden on producing evidence, the -- and I'll

"The affect of a presumption imposed in the burden of producing evidence

24

25

2

1

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

is to require the trier of fact to assume the existence of a presumed fact unless and until evidence is introduced which would support a finding of its nonexistence, in which case no instruction or presumption shall be given and the trier of fact shall determine the existence or nonexistence of the presumed fact from the evidence and without regard to the presumption."

Your Honor, our position is that, um, the Plaintiffs cannot rely merely on the recitation of a State's, uh, State, uh, State statute, um, that is in fact a presumption that we've now provided evidence, um, of its nonexistence, and the Court no longer can rely on the Plaintiffs', um, on the presumption of that State, um, statute and must mace -- make an -- in -- in fact what it's done now, Your Honor, it's our position respectfully, that we've now replaced the presumption and that presumption now is a presumption that the Kingdom of Hawaii continues to exist, that we've met our burden, that this Court in fact has no jurisdiction and must dismiss the complaint.

Uh, and also briefly, Your Honor, um, as set forth in our brief, again, um, what we've presented is evidence of an executive agreement. An executive

agreement being the supreme call, uh, law of the land under the supremacy clause, it is both my obligation and respectfully, uh, submitted, that it's the Court's obligation at this time to acknowledge the existence of that executive agreement and acknowledge the fact that this Court no longer has jurisdiction.

Uh, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Prather?

MR. PRATHER: Uh, I mean I believe the Court's familiar with the issues. I think we've set out our opposition in our -- our written filing. I don't have much to add to the -- to this time other than the fact that I'm not aware of any citation to Rule 302 either in the motion or in reply. As it stands I think our opposition's already been set forth.

THE COURT: Okay.

So what we're -- Court will deny the motion. Court believes it has jurisdiction pursuant to Article 6 of the Hawaii State Constitution and H.R.S. §603-21.9.

Mr. Prather, please submit a form of the order.

MR. PRATHER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. KAIAMA: Uh, and Your Honor, just for

clarification, um, a -- again I think we've dealt with this in the past, I think we would -- Mr. Gumapac would have 20 days upon the filing of the order to file his answer to the complaint?

THE COURT: Mr. Prather, as a matter of practice, uh, I ask, um, in regard to the form of the order, that it -- there be a included provision which allows, um, a defendant to respond within 20 days from the entry of the order to the complaint, answer within that 20-day period.

MR. PRATHER: Twenty days from the entry of the order --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. PRATHER: -- from today?

THE COURT: Uh, from the entry of the

order.

MR. PRATHER: Okay.

MR. KAIAMA: Respectfully speaking, uh, uh, requesting, Your Honor, is -- and -- and I -- I know it's within the Court's discretion, but may we ask that the -- that the, uh, Plaintiffs' counsel provide a, um, um, findings of facts, conclusions of law, and an order for purposes of this motion?

THE COURT: I think an order would be sufficient 'cause it's a, to me, a\*clear issue of

```
law.
 1
 2
                      MR. PRATHER: Thank you.
                                    Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                      MR. KAIAMA:
 4
                               ---000---
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Transcript Authenticated by Original Signature & Seal

-	
1	COUNTY OF HAWAII )
2	STATE OF HAWAII )
3	CERTIFICATE
4	I, Geraldine L. Saffery, CSR 328, RPR,
5	Official Court Reporter of the Third Circuit Court, do
6	hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 3 to
7	10, inclusive, contains a true and accurate
8	transcription, done to the best of my ability, of the
9	proceedings held in connection with the aforementioned
10	cause.
11	To protect the transcript's integrity,
12	this certification of authenticity and accuracy is valid
13	only with an original inked signature and an unbroken
14	transcript seal.
15	Dated this 22nd day of February, 2012.
16	
17	Jelen Light
18	GERALDINE L. SAFFERY, CSR 328, RPR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	· v.