

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

JUL 12 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

HAWAIIAN KINGDOM,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, in his official capacity  
as President of the United States; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 22-15637

D.C. No. 1:21-cv-00243-LEK-RT  
District of Hawaii, Honolulu

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN, CALLAHAN, and COLLINS, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record and the parties' filings in response to this court's May 3, 2022 order to show cause demonstrates that this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal because the challenged orders are not final or appealable. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291; Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); *Romoland Sch. Dist. v. Inland Empire Energy Ctr., LLC*, 548 F.3d 738, 747 (9th Cir. 2008) ("A district court order is . . . not appealable [under § 1291] unless it disposes of all claims as to all parties or unless judgment is entered in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b)." (citing *Chacon v. Babcock*, 640 F.2d 221, 222 (9th Cir. 1981))).

Appellant's motion to dismiss the appeal for *forum non conveniens* (Docket Entry No. 10) is denied as moot.

LCC/MOATT

Appellant's alternative request for a writ of mandamus (Docket Entry No. 12) is denied because appellant has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Ct.*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977) (stating guidelines).

**DISMISSED.**